

AI TASK AUTOMATION

WORKSHEET

Find the right tasks to automate, evaluate the risks, and prioritize practical opportunities.

1. Introduction

AI task automation is most useful when it solves a clearly defined problem. This worksheet helps you move from a general idea—“we should use AI”—to a specific, measurable task that can be tested safely.

1.1 Why Identifying the Right Tasks Matters

Not every task benefits from AI. Some work requires judgment, empathy, negotiation, or accountability; other work follows repeatable patterns and consumes time without adding much strategic value. Starting with the task—not the tool—helps teams avoid automating a process that is unclear, inefficient, or too risky.

Look for work that is frequent, rules-based, time-consuming, and easy to check.

Define the problem in observable terms, such as delays, rework, missed updates, or time spent searching.

Understand the current process before automating it; automation can make a poor process happen faster without making it better.

Example: A project manager spends 30 minutes after every meeting turning notes into action items. This is a good candidate for assistance because the inputs and desired output are consistent. Deciding whether to cancel a project, by contrast, needs context and accountable human judgment.

1.2 Benefits of AI Task Automation

When selected carefully, AI automation can reduce repetitive effort, make information easier to use, and help teams respond more consistently. The strongest results usually come from combining automation with clear process ownership and human review.

Time savings: less manual copying, formatting, summarizing, and searching.

Consistency: repeatable outputs and standardized first drafts.

Faster access to information: summaries of long documents, meeting notes, or issue logs.

Better focus: more time for planning, stakeholder communication, and problem-solving.

Potential quality gains: checks can flag missing fields or unusual changes, provided the checks are validated.

Example: An AI assistant drafts a weekly status report from approved project updates. The project lead spends less time compiling information and more time checking risks, resolving blockers, and communicating decisions.

2. List Your Project Tasks

Create an inventory before scoring automation opportunities. Include the task, who performs it, how often it occurs, what information it uses, and what happens when it is delayed or done incorrectly.

2.1 Identify Repetitive and Manual Tasks

Break broad responsibilities into individual tasks. “Manage the project” is too broad to evaluate; “collect weekly updates from six workstream leads and consolidate them into a report” is specific enough to assess.

Write each task as an action: collect, compare, summarize, classify, draft, extract, or validate.

Note exceptions. A task that appears repetitive may still require special handling in many cases.

Separate the creation of a recommendation from the final approval of that recommendation.

Example: Possible candidates include meeting-note summaries, first drafts of routine communications, categorizing support requests, extracting fields from documents, checking forms for missing information, and compiling recurring reports.

2.2 Track Time and Effort Required

Estimate the time spent per occurrence and how many times the task happens over a week or month. Include preparation, waiting, handoffs, correction, and follow-up—not only the time spent actively typing.

Record average time and, where possible, a range for unusually busy periods.

Estimate the number of people involved and whether work is duplicated.

Use a short time log for one or two weeks if estimates are uncertain.

Example: If a team spends 20 minutes compiling a report twice each week, the direct effort is about 40 minutes per week. If another 30 minutes is regularly spent correcting inconsistent inputs, record that separately so the baseline reflects the real workflow.

2.3 Identify Workflow Bottlenecks

A bottleneck is a step that slows the whole process, creates a queue, or causes repeated rework. Automation may help, but first determine whether the delay comes from manual processing, missing information, unclear ownership, or a dependency on another team.

Where does work wait the longest?

Which step causes the most corrections or back-and-forth?

Does the task depend on data that is incomplete, outdated, or difficult to access?

Example: A project report is always late because team members submit updates in different formats. An AI summarizer might help with consolidation, but a standard update template and deadline may solve much of the problem more simply.

Task inventory worksheet

Task	Owner	Frequency	Minutes each	Main pain point	Inputs / systems
Compile weekly status report	Project lead	2× weekly	20	Manual consolidation	Team updates
Turn meeting notes into actions	Coordinator	3× weekly	15	Follow-up delays	Meeting notes
Review vendor invoice	Finance owner	Weekly	25	Exceptions need judgment	Invoice, PO
Your task: _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

3. Evaluate Automation Potential

Assess how often the task occurs, whether AI is a good fit, and what amount of effort might realistically be saved. Use estimates as hypotheses to test, not promises.

3.1 Assess Task Frequency

Frequent tasks often offer more cumulative benefit because even a small saving per occurrence adds up. However, frequency alone does not make a task suitable: a rare, high-impact task may still deserve attention, while a frequent task may be too sensitive or variable to automate.

Daily or weekly tasks are often easier to evaluate through a pilot.

Record both typical frequency and peak-period frequency.

Check whether task volume is likely to change after a new process or product launch.

Example: Saving 5 minutes on a task done 40 times a month creates more potential monthly capacity than saving 20 minutes on a task done twice a month, assuming similar reliability and implementation effort.

3.2 Evaluate AI Suitability

AI tends to be useful for working with unstructured information, generating first drafts, summarizing, classifying, extracting details, and suggesting next steps. Deterministic

rules or standard software may be better for simple calculations, fixed routing, and exact repeatable operations.

Input quality: are the required documents or data available and reliable?

Output clarity: can the expected result be described and checked?

Variation: how often do unusual cases occur?

Integration: can the tool access the necessary systems with appropriate permissions?

Alternatives: would a template, rule, or process change be simpler and safer?

Example: Use AI to summarize a long discussion into draft action items, then let the meeting owner confirm the owner and deadline. Use a fixed rule or formula to calculate a project budget total rather than asking a language model to do arithmetic unaided.

3.3 Estimate Potential Time Savings

Estimate the current monthly effort, then estimate the time remaining after automation—including review, corrections, and exception handling. The difference is the potential net saving. Avoid counting time as saved if it is simply shifted to another team or replaced by substantial review work.

Monthly baseline minutes = minutes per occurrence × occurrences per month.

Estimated net saving = baseline effort – new effort – recurring review and correction effort.

Track one-time setup effort separately and calculate payback only after real pilot results are available.

Example: Current effort: 20 minutes × 8 reports per month = 160 minutes. If drafting and consolidation reduce hands-on work to 8 minutes per report, the new effort is 64 minutes. Estimated net saving: 96 minutes per month, before any setup or maintenance time.

Automation potential scorecard

Task	Frequency (1-5)	AI fit (1-5)	Time-saving potential (1-5)	Evidence / notes
_____	—	—	—	_____
_____	—	—	—	_____
_____	—	—	—	_____
_____	—	—	—	_____

4. Assess Risk and Feasibility

A promising task is not automatically a safe or practical one. Consider what could go wrong, what data is involved, how accurate the output must be, and who remains responsible.

4.1 Identify Potential Risks

Risks may include incorrect or fabricated information, missed exceptions, biased classifications, accidental disclosure, unauthorized actions, unreliable integrations, or overdependence on a tool. Consider the impact of an error as well as how likely it is to happen.

List the most plausible failure modes and the consequences of each.

Decide what the system is allowed to do: draft, recommend, update, send, or approve.

Start with reversible actions and test on sample data before connecting live workflows.

Example: An AI-generated project summary incorrectly states that a dependency is complete. If the report is shared without review, the team may make a schedule decision based on false information. A review step and links to source updates can reduce this risk.

4.2 Evaluate Data Sensitivity and Accuracy Requirements

Check whether the task uses confidential business information, personal data, customer records, contracts, financial details, or regulated information. Use only tools and data-

sharing arrangements approved by your organization, and follow applicable access, retention, and security rules.

Sensitivity: public, internal, confidential, or restricted—use your organization’s classifications.

Accuracy: what error rate is tolerable, and which fields must be exact?

Traceability: can a reviewer verify important claims against the source?

Privacy and security: are permissions, retention, and vendor terms acceptable?

Example: A public-facing blog outline may use low-sensitivity source material. A task involving employee performance notes or customer account details requires stronger access controls, a clear approved purpose, and a careful review of the tool’s data handling.

4.3 Determine Human Oversight Needs

Oversight should match the consequences of failure. Low-risk drafts may need a quick check; high-impact decisions need qualified people to review the evidence and retain decision authority. Human review should be meaningful rather than a routine click-through.

Define who reviews the output and what they must check.

Specify escalation rules for uncertainty, missing data, or unusual cases.

Keep a record of material changes, approvals, and incidents where appropriate.

Example: An AI tool can suggest categories for incoming requests, while a staff member handles ambiguous or urgent cases. It should not independently make a high-impact eligibility decision without a validated process and appropriate governance.

5. Prioritize Tasks to Automate

Compare potential value with the effort and risk involved. Prioritization helps the team choose a first experiment that is useful, achievable, and safe.

5.1 Compare Business Impact and Implementation Effort

Business impact may include time returned to the team, shorter turnaround, fewer errors, improved consistency, or better service. Implementation effort includes setup, integration, training, governance, maintenance, and the time needed to review outputs.

Rate impact and effort on a consistent 1–5 scale.

Include recurring operational costs and maintenance—not just the initial setup.

Do not let a high estimated time saving override unacceptable risk.

Example: A meeting-summary assistant may have moderate impact and low implementation effort if notes are already available. Automating a complex approval workflow across several systems may have high impact but also high integration and control requirements.

5.2 Identify Quick Wins

Quick wins are tasks with clear value, manageable effort, low risk, and outputs that are easy to verify. They are useful for learning how automation performs in the real workflow before taking on more complex use cases.

Choose a task with stable inputs and a clear definition of done.

Prefer a small pilot with a named owner and a baseline measurement.

Avoid starting with an action that automatically sends messages, changes records, or makes consequential decisions.

Example: Examples include drafting routine internal updates, summarizing approved meeting notes, suggesting categories for non-sensitive requests, or checking a form for missing fields before a person reviews it.

5.3 Rank Tasks by Priority

Use a transparent score to support discussion rather than treating the number as an automatic decision. One simple method is to rate business impact and feasibility from 1 to 5, then subtract a risk penalty. Teams can adjust the method to reflect their governance requirements.

Score only after documenting assumptions.

Discuss disagreements and record why a task was ranked high or low.

Treat any critical security, privacy, or compliance concern as a gate—not something that can be averaged away.

Example: Illustrative score = (Impact × Feasibility) – Risk penalty. A task with impact 4, feasibility 4, and risk penalty 2 scores 14. A task with impact 5, feasibility 2, and risk

penalty 5 scores 5, signalling that the second task needs more preparation despite its potential impact.

Prioritization matrix

Task	Impact (1-5)	Feasibility (1-5)	Risk (low / med / high)	Priority / rationale
_____	---	---	_____	_____
_____	---	---	_____	_____
_____	---	---	_____	_____
_____	---	---	_____	_____
_____	---	---	_____	_____

6. Choose Your First Automation

Select one task for a bounded pilot. The objective is to learn whether automation improves the workflow under real conditions—not to automate everything at once.

6.1 Select a Low-Risk Task

Choose a task with clear inputs and outputs, limited consequences if the draft is wrong, and a straightforward review process. Keep the first trial small enough that the team can compare results with the existing method.

Set the scope: which team, task, documents, and time period are included?

Define exclusions: what information or cases must not be processed?

Name the owner, reviewer, and person to contact if the tool fails.

Example: Pilot AI-assisted meeting summaries for one internal project team for two weeks. The AI creates a draft summary from approved notes; the coordinator checks decisions, owners, and dates before sharing it.

6.2 Choose the Right Automation Approach

Use the simplest approach that meets the need. A template or rule-based workflow may be enough for predictable tasks; AI assistance may suit text-heavy work; a multi-step workflow or agent may be appropriate only when a task requires several connected actions and has adequate controls.

Manual improvement: clarify steps or standardize inputs before adding technology.

Rule-based automation: fixed triggers, conditions, calculations, and routing.

AI-assisted workflow: drafts, summaries, extraction, classification, and suggestions with review.

Multi-step automation / agent: coordinated actions across tools; use only with clear boundaries and monitoring.

Example: A fixed reminder when a due date is approaching can be rule-based. Summarizing a long meeting transcript is an AI-assistance use case. An agent that reads tickets, updates a system, and sends responses requires tighter permissions, testing, logging, and escalation controls.

6.3 Define Success Criteria

Decide what success means before the pilot begins. Include both benefits and safeguards so the team does not celebrate speed while overlooking quality or risk.

Efficiency: time per task, turnaround time, or backlog reduction.

Quality: completeness, error rate, correction time, and reviewer confidence.

Adoption: percentage of eligible tasks actually using the workflow.

Safety: incidents, inappropriate data access, and correct escalation of exceptions.

Example: For a meeting-summary pilot, success might mean reducing preparation time by at least 30%, keeping all key decisions and owners accurate, and having no sensitive information shared with an unapproved tool. Set targets appropriate to your context rather than copying these illustrative numbers.

First automation pilot plan

Task selected	
Current process / baseline	
Proposed approach	
Pilot owner and reviewer	
Start and end dates	
Success measures and targets	
Risks and safeguards	
Stop / rollback condition	

7. Measure the Results

Compare pilot results with the baseline. Review enough examples to understand typical performance and exceptions, and involve the people who actually perform the task.

7.1 Track Time Saved and Productivity Gains

Measure end-to-end effort before and after the change, including prompting, review, corrections, and tool administration. Capacity returned to a team is valuable, but it becomes a business benefit only when the time is used productively or the service improves.

Compare similar task volumes and complexity where possible.

Record setup time separately from recurring effort.

Ask whether saved time reduced delays, improved service, or allowed higher-value work.

Example: If a task previously took 20 minutes and now takes 12 minutes including review, the net saving is 8 minutes per occurrence. Across 30 occurrences, that is 240 minutes, or 4 hours, of capacity returned during the measurement period.

7.2 Monitor Accuracy and Errors

Review outputs against source material and record both major and minor errors. A fast output that regularly needs extensive correction may not create a real benefit. Track the

type of error, its cause, its impact, and whether the process detected it before it reached a user or customer.

Use a consistent review checklist or sample-based quality check.

Escalate serious errors immediately and pause the workflow if necessary.

Compare quality across different task types, teams, and input conditions.

Example: For generated meeting notes, check whether decisions, owners, deadlines, risks, and unresolved questions match the original notes. Track omissions and invented details separately because they may need different safeguards.

7.3 Identify Areas for Improvement

Use findings to refine the task, prompts, input templates, access permissions, review steps, or choice of tool. If the pilot fails, determine whether the cause is poor AI fit, unclear process design, low-quality inputs, or insufficient training before deciding to scale.

Keep a short log of issues, examples, and corrective actions.

Gather feedback from users who review or depend on the output.

Decide whether to continue, modify, expand, or stop the pilot based on evidence.

Example: If summaries omit owners because meeting notes do not identify them consistently, standardize the notes or require the AI to flag missing owners instead of guessing. Retest the change and confirm it improves results.

Results tracking log

Measure	Before	During pilot	Target	Result	Action
Minutes per task	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Error / correction rate	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Turnaround time	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
User satisfaction	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Exceptions escalated	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Next Steps

Automation is an ongoing improvement process. Use what the pilot teaches you to adjust the strategy, identify the next opportunity, and keep workflows aligned with business goals and risk controls.

Refine Your Automation Strategy

Update the strategy based on measured outcomes, user feedback, costs, and risks. Document which task types are appropriate for AI, which need rules or process changes, and which must remain human-led. Assign an owner to review the workflow as tools, data, and business requirements change.

Keep a record of approved tools, use cases, owners, and safeguards.

Review permissions and quality periodically, especially after a workflow or tool changes.

Share lessons learned so teams do not repeat avoidable mistakes.

Example: After a successful summary pilot, the team documents an approved workflow, a source-checking checklist, data-handling rules, and a process for reporting errors before extending it to another team.

Identify Additional Automation Opportunities

Return to the task inventory and look for adjacent work that shares the same inputs or process. Expand in small steps rather than launching several untested automations at

once. Re-score each candidate because the risk and feasibility may differ from the first use case.

Prioritize opportunities supported by evidence from the first pilot.

Look for reusable templates, integrations, and quality checks.

Avoid scaling a workflow simply because it is technically possible.

Example: Once meeting summaries are reliable, the team might test extracting action items into a draft task list. Creating or assigning live tasks automatically should be a separate pilot with its own permissions and review controls.

Revisit Workflow Bottlenecks

After automation, map the workflow again. A bottleneck may move to the review stage, approval queue, data access step, or handoff between teams. Measure the entire process so the team improves the outcome rather than optimizing one isolated step.

Compare delays and handoffs before and after the pilot.

Ask users where work now waits or where rework remains.

Update the task inventory and choose the next improvement based on the new evidence.

Example: If report drafting becomes much faster but the manager still takes two days to approve the report, the next improvement may be a clearer approval window or delegation rule—not a more powerful AI model.

Final decision checklist

- ☐ The task is clearly defined and has a measurable baseline.
- ☐ The expected benefit is meaningful and can be tested.
- ☐ The chosen approach is simpler than unnecessary alternatives.
- ☐ Data use, access, and tool approval have been checked.
- ☐ A named person reviews outputs and handles exceptions.
- ☐ Success criteria include quality and safety, not only speed.
- ☐ The pilot has a stop condition and a way to revert to the previous process.
- ☐ Results will be reviewed before the workflow is scaled.

Quick reference: a practical automation rule

Automate the repeatable parts; keep humans accountable for judgment, exceptions, and consequential decisions. Start with one well-defined task, measure the full workflow, and scale only when the evidence supports it.

Notes / decisions: _____

CERTIFIED AGENTIC AI PROJECT MANAGEMENT PROFESSIONAL

MASTER AI-POWERED PROJECT
MANAGEMENT BY LEVERAGING
AUTONOMOUS AGENTS TO PLAN, EXECUTE,
OPTIMIZE, AND DELIVER SUCCESSFUL
PROJECTS WITH GREATER SPEED AND
EFFICIENCY.



ABOUT GSDC CERTIFICATION



EBOOK

Extensive and exclusive Ebook created by world's experts to help you with understanding core concepts.



EBOOK

Extensive and exclusive Ebook created by world's experts to help you with understanding core concepts.



CREATED BY EXPERTS

GSDC certifications are created and authored by world's leading experts in the field.



LEARNING MATERIALS

Get access to learning materials such as videos, ebooks, templates, and practice exams, which will help you clear the certification exam.

LEARNING OBJECTIVE

- Understand the fundamentals of Agentic AI and its applications in modern project management.
- Explain Agentic AI architectures, reasoning, memory, and autonomous project workflows.

Enroll now with the
code **LEARN20** To
avail **20%** discount

Enroll Now



www.gsdcouncil.org